

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/529,564	04/14/2000	BRUCE H GOODREAU	M6185HST-CCA	7171
75	90 09/02/2003	•		13
NORVELL E WISDOM JR			EXAMINER	
HENKEL CORPORATION 2500 RENAISSANCE BOULEVARD			MULCAHY, PETER D	
SUITE 200 GULPH MILLS, PA 19406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1713	
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		A?	3-1		
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/529,564	GOODREAU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713			
Period for Reply	sars on the cover sheet	nur trio correspondence dadress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the s	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M					
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Burn</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has	peen received.			
Attachment(s)	. p				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Serial No. 09/529,564

Art Unit 1713

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

The claimed recitation "a component consisting of dissolved, dispersed, or both dissolved and dispersed materials ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ )" is indefinite. It is unclear as to exactly how this language is intended to further limit the claim. It is unclear as to whether or not each of the components is to be incorporated or one an alternative to the other. This is further confused by claim 21 which has the same language and further contains the language "or both ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ )." Here applicants have further specified that it is either in the alternative or both. Clarification is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Serial No. 09/529,564

Art Unit 1713

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindert et al., U.S. Patent 5,298,289 taken in view of Ara et al., U.S. Patent 5,378,291.

The rejection as set forth under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in Paper No. 9 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

Applicants argue that the newly amended claims are directed to protecting a surface such that it will not need to be painted after subjecting it to applicants' process. Applicants argue that the '289 patent is directed to passsivation films used as dried in place of pretreatments for subsequent painting. This is not persuasive. Applicants' claimed process of forming a coating on a metal surface is embodied by the prior art. Applicants' composition utilized in the process is embodied by the prior art as well. It should be further noted that the Examiner finds the polymeric material I as described at column 3 lines 43+ as reading on applicants'  $(\alpha)$  and the polymeric material II as shown in column 4 lines 53+ as reading on the  $(\beta)$ . As such, this patent in fact teaches both of the polymers as well as the additional ingredients as claimed. Applicants have failed to show or allege that any of the ingredients is not shown or that there are any unexpected results due to the specifically claimed composition and/or process.

Applicants argue that the '289 patent teaches that chromium may be present and that the '291 patent requires coatings

Serial No. 09/529,564

Art Unit 1713

containing hexavalent chromium. It is unclear to the Examiner as to exactly how this argument supports the patentability of the instantly claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

P. Mulcahy:cdc August 25, 2003

> PETER D. MULCAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER